



KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	30th July 2013 2013 ജൂലൈ 30 8th Sravana 1935 1935 ശ്രാവണം 8	No. നമ്പർ	31
---------------------	---	--	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 981/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. J. Thomas, Parayil, Cheradi Valiya Veetil, Plassanal P. O., Kottayam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kottayam District Motor and Engineering Mazdoor Sangh, B. M. S. Office, T. B. Junction M. L. Road, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order.

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Shijo L. Varghese, Conductor of Bus No. KL-6C- 4010 by the owner Sri P. J. Thomas is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1014/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Thankamala Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O., Peerumadu and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Ajitha, C. R. No. 2685, Thankamala Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O., Peerumadu in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Ajitha, (C. R. No. 2685), field worker of Thankamala Estate, Vandiperiyar by the Management is justifiable? If not, what the worker is entitled to ?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1015/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. Rakhi, Registered Owner, KL-2Z 3330, Punjiri Travels, E. S. I. Puthuval, Srikrishna Nagar-25, Ashramam P. O., Kollam, (2) Shri Hassan Naushad, Operating Owner, KL-2Z 3330,

Punjiri Travels, Kottiyam P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) the General Secretary, Quilon District Motor & Mechanical Workers Union, CITU Bhavan, Kollam, (2) the Secretary, Quilon District Motor & Mechanical Workers Union, CITU Bhavan, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri S. Sreekumar, Conductor of Stage Carriage KL-2Z3330 owned by Smt. Rakhi and operated by Shri. Hassan Noushad is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,

Under Secretary to Government.

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 992/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. Jayaprakash, Proprietor, S. N. Auditorium, Krishnapuram, Neyyatinkara and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. J. Syamala, Mavuvila Veedu, Malayilkkada, Manchavilakam P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment and Bonus for the year 2012 to Smt. J. Syamala, cleaner by the management of S. N. Auditorium, Neyyattinkara is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(2)

G O. (Rt) No. 993/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Vrindhavan Estate, Mampatta Pookottumpadam P. O.-679 332, Nilambur, Malappuram District and the workman of the above referred establishment The President, Malappuram District Estate Labour Congress (INTUC) Amaramblam Mandalam Committee, Indira Bhavan Pookottumpadam P. O.-679 332, Nilambur, Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the strike of 4 workers in Vrindhavan Estate, Pookottumpadam on the issue of denial of employment of Sri A. K. Subrahmannian, worker is justifiable? If not, what is the the remedy.

(3)

G O. (Rt) No. 994/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Senior General Manager, Cochin Malabar Estate and Industries Limited, Chimmini Estate, Palapilli P. O., Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. V. Subramanian, S/o Puthenpura Velayudhan Pulinchode. Varantharapilly P. O., Mukundapuram Taluk, Amballur Village in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of the worker Sri P. V. Subramanian, S/o Puthenpura Velayudhan, Pulinchode, Varantharapilli P. O., Mukundapuram Taluk by the Management of Cochin Malabar Estate and Industries Ltd. is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to get?

(4)

G O. (Rt) No. 995/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. Jayaprakash, Proprietor, S. N. Auditorium, Krishnapuram, Neyyattinkara and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. R. Bhairi, Pallivila Veedu, Poovathur, Manchavilakom P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment and Bonus for the year 2012 to Smt. R. Bhairi, Cleaner by the Management of S. N. Auditorium, Neyyattinkara is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(5)

G O. (Rt) No. 996/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. Jayaprakash, Proprietor, S. N. Auditorium, Krishnapuram, Neyyattinkara and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri D. Rajayyan, Shaji Bhavan, Malayilthottam, Vadakara, Marayamuttam P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial employment and Bonus for the year 2012 to Sri D. Rajayyan, Cleaner by the Management of S. N. Auditorium, Neyyattinkara is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(6)

G O. (Rt) No. 997/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Sumangaly Kalyana Mandapam, K. V. V. S. Thiruvananthapuram City Branch (No. 87), Ramaswamy Kovil Street, Kottakkakom, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Maniyan Chettiyar, T. C. 29/205, Lakshmi Vilakom, Thengappura Lane, Pettah P. O. , Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Maniyan Chettiyar, Cleaner from the Service of Sumangaly Kalyana Mandapam, Kottakkakom, Thiruvananthapuram by the Management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

G O. (Rt) No. 998/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Sri Suresh Kumar, Chairman, Sree Sree Ravisankar, Vidhya Mandir, Sasthavattom, Sasthavattom, P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Sudevan, Vilayil Veedu, Kurakkada, Kailathukonam, Veyilur Village in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri R. Sudevan, Driver by the Management of Sree Sree Ravisankar, Vidhya Mandir, Sasthavattom is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(8)

G O. (Rt) No. 1054/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Director, Malabar Cancer Centre, Kodyeri, Thalassery and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Suhara, P., Pullunnikuyyil House, Mayanad P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Suhara, P., Pullunnikuyil House, Mayanad P. O., Kozhikode by the Employer of Malabar Cancer Centre, Kodyeri, Thalassery is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(9)

G O. (Rt) No. 1055/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th June 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Director, Malabar Cancer Centre, Kodyeri, Thalassery and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Ashraf, C. M., Chalukkum Parambathmeethal, Kanniparamba P. O., Mavoor, Kozhikode-673 661 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Ashraf, C. M., Chalukkum Parambathmeethal, Kanniparamba P. O., Mavoor, Kozhikode-673 661 by the Employer of Malabar Cancer Centre, Kodyeri, Thalassery is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.